

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/778,103	OKUMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner Christina Ildebrando	Art Unit 1754	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Christina Ildebrando. (3) _____
 (2) Jeff Nelson. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 08 March 2002.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

- i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative requested clarification of the restriction requirement mailed 3/1/02. Specifically, applicant's representative pointed out that the preliminary amendment filed 2/7/01 did not appear to have been entered or considered and requested a supplemental restriction requirement be mailed. The examiner agreed to mail a supplemental action taking into account all currently pending claims. Additionally, the examiner noted that the preliminary amendment cancelled claims that were not in the application as filed and indicated that portion of the amendment would not be entered and that new claims 24-27 would be renumbered 20-23. Applicant's representative agreed.